Table I. ¹³C NMR Shifts and ¹J_H Coupling Constants in 1 and 2

	Carbon chemical shifts, ppm, ^a and coupling constants (Hz)									
	a	b	с	d	e	f	g	h	i	Ref
$d = \int_{a}^{b} \int_{a}^{e} f_{h} f_{h}$	148.0	121.0 (162)	112.4 (166)	126.0	119.6	135.9	31.1 (138)	30.9	19.9 (170)	b
	145.5		110.0 (169)	122.8			29.0 (137)		19.2 (169.5)	с

^aPeaks measured from CDCl₃ which was taken as 77.2 ppm downfield from Me₄Si. ^b These assignments are based on relative peak heights, proton-carbon coupling, and comparison of the shifts with those in benzocyclopropene and benzocyclobutene. ^c These values are from ref 2.

smoothly converted into benzocyclopropene (6). The rate of conversion of 5 into 6 did, however, appear slower than the rate of conversion of the 3,4 isomer into 6, and the fact that the double bond in 4 is tetrasubstituted might be critical, particularly if prior rearrangement to the 3,4 position has to occur.¹⁰ Consequently the preparation of an isomer of 4 with the double bond in a different position was investigated.

The diene 7a, prepared by the chlorination of cyclooctatetraene,¹¹ was treated with KO-t-Bu and CHCl₃ to give the dichlorocarbene adduct 8a, mp 78-79 °C, in 28% yield.^{4,5} All attempts to replace the cyclobutyl chlorine atoms with hydrogen were unsuccessful. Bicyclo[4.2.0]octa-2,4-diene (7b) was therefore prepared, 12 which on treatment with KO-t-Bu and CHCl₃ gave the adduct 8b in 35% yield.^{4,5} Treatment of 8b (1.0 g, 5.3 mmol) with KO-t-Bu (2.24 g, 20 mmol) in Me₂SO (20 mL) at 15 °C for 5 min gave 1 (25 mg, 0.2 mmol, 4%).¹³ The mass spectrum (20 eV) had m/e (rel intensity) 116 $(M^+, 45\%)$, 115 $(M^+ - 1, 100\%)$; high resolution (70 eV) 116.0632 (C_9H_8 requires 116.0625). The ¹H NMR spectrum (CCl₄) showed an AB quartet at δ 7.04 and 6.82 (2 H, J = 6.5 Hz), a singlet at 3.24 (4 H), and a singlet at 3.18 (2 H), 14 and the ¹³C NMR spectrum had nine absorptions (Table 1). The electronic spectrum (hexane) showed a broad band with maxima at 264 nm (sh, log $\epsilon \sim 3.1$) 270 (~3.2), and 276.5 (~ 3.2) .¹⁵ These data are clearly in accord with the assigned structure. Comparison of the ¹³C NMR spectra of 1 and 2 is made in Table 1, and the chemical shifts found for 1 could be directly derived from those previously found for 2.



The electronic spectrum shows the expected hypsochromic shift compared with that of 2, but the deviation from the trend observed by Thummel and Nutakul¹⁶ for benzenes annelated in the 1,2:3,4 positions by larger rings is less than that for 2 with its related systems.17

Treatment of 1 with HCl in CCl₄ gave 9a,^{4,5} identical with the compound obtained by a similar treatment of 2, and therefore having the constitution shown. Treatment of 1 with Br₂ gave 9b, mp 77-78 °C,^{4,5} and with l₂ gave 9c, mp 79-81 $^{\circ}C$,^{4,5} the substitution pattern being assumed on the basis of the structure of **9a**.^{18,19}

0002-7863/78/1500-0981\$01.00/0

We are currently engaged in a comparative study of the chemistry of 1 and 2.

Acknowledgment. We thank the SRC (U.K) for a graduate award (to M.M.M.) and the Pahlavi Foundation for a grant (to D.D.). We thank Dr. A. G. Loudon for the high resolution mass spectral determinations, and BASF (Ludwigshafen) for a gift of cyclooctatetraene.

References and Notes

- (1) Tricyclo[6.1.0.0^{2,5}]nona-1,5,7-triene.
- D. Davalian and P. J. Garratt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 6883 (1975).
 C. J. Saward and K. P. C. Vollhardt, Tetrahedron Lett., 4539 (1975).
- (4) Satisfactory microanalytical and/or high resolution mass spectral data have been obtained for this compound.
- been obtained for this compound.
 ¹H NMR (*b*): **3a** (CDCl₃), 5.53 (s, 1 H), 5.12 (s, 2 H), 4.70 (s, 1 H), 2.10 (m, 6 H); **3b** (CDCl₃), 5.66 (s, 1 H), 5.23 (s, 2 H), 4.76 (s, 1 H), 2.13 (m, 6 H); **4** (CDCl₃), 2.62 (m, 2 H), 2.45 (m, 2 H), 1.94 (m, 6 H); **8a** (CDCl₃), 6.12 (ddd, 1 H, J = 9, 6, 1 Hz), 5.84 (dd, 1 H, J = 10, 2 Hz), 4.30 (m, 2 H), 3.38 (t, 1 H, J = 10 Hz), 2.86 (m, 1 H), 2.18 (m, 2 H); **8b** (CDCl₃), 6.0 (m, 2 H), 3.2–1.2 (m, 8 H); **9a** (CCl₄), 6.96 (m, 3 H), 4.40 (s, 2 H), 3.06 (s, 4 H); **9b** (CCl₄), 7.24, 6.90 (dd, 2 H), 2.72 (dd), 2 H), 2.72 (dd, 2 H), 6.90 (dd, 2 H, J = 7.5 Hz), 4.52 (s, 2 H), 3.12 (s, 4 H); 9c (CCl₄), 7.24, 6.88 (dd, 2 H, J = 7.5 Hz), 4.50 (s, 2 H), 3.02 (s, 4 H).
- (6) Decomposition occurred under the reaction conditions.
- (7) The properties of cyclopropa[a]naphthalene, which has now been prepared,⁸ suggest that even if formed this compound would not have survived our isolation procedure.
- (8) S. Tanimoto, R. Schafer, J. Ippen, and E. Vogel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. *Engl.*, **15**, 613 (1976). (9) W. E. Billups, A. J. Blakeney, and W. Y. Chow, *J. Chem. Soc. D*, 1461
- (1971).
- (10) Also, unlike the case of the 3,4 isomer, elimination of HCI from 5 can proceed in two ways, and one of the dienes so produced requires more extensive bond rearrangement to give **6.** (11) A. C. Cope and M. Burg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **74**, 168 (1952). (12) K. Alder and H. A. Dortmann, *Chem. Ber.*, **87**, 1492 (1954).

- (13) The method of isolation was similar to that described by Billups et al.9 Compound 1 has a pungent smell, similar to benzocyclopropene, and the yield without distillation is $\sim 10\%$ (NMR).
- (14) At 60 MHz with CDCl3 as solvent, a single resonance is observed for the cyclopropyl and cyclobutyl hydrogens.
- (15) These are minimal values for the extinction coefficients.
- (16) R. P. Thummel and W. Nutakul, J. Org. Chem., 42, 300 (1977)
- (17) It is not at present possible to compare the degree or direction of bond fixation in these two systems. The ¹³C NMR spectra indicate that both systems are similarly hybridized at comparable carbon atoms, but the significance of the large bathochromic shift in the electronic spectrum of 2 is not known. (18) The ¹H NMR spectrum of 9c shows two low intensity singlets at 4.32 and
- 3.12 (1:2), probably due to the other isomer
- (19) Chemical proof for the substitution pattern in 9b and 9c is currently being sought.

Dariush Davalian, Peter J. Garratt* Muzammil M. Mansuri

Department of Chemistry, University College London London WCIH OAJ, England Received August 24, 1977

On the Nature of Ru(bpy)₃⁺ in Aqueous Solution¹

Sir:

 $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine) is generated²⁻⁵ upon the reductive quenching of $*Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ and is thermodynamically capable of reducing H₂O to H₂.^{6,7} Thus, Ru(bpy)₃⁺ could be

© 1978 American Chemical Society



Figure 1. Absorption spectrum of $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ (--) and $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ (---) in aqueous solution. The spectrum of $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ is obtained by pulse radiolysis and is independent of pH (5-13) and the mode of generation (reduction by e_{aq}^- , $-CH_2O^-$, $CH_3\dot{C}HO^-$, and $(CH_3)_2\dot{C}O^-$). Correction is made for depletion of the substrate.

visualized as the reactive intermediate in some catalyzed photodissociation cycle;⁸ the use of $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ and its derivatives for solar energy conversion is being enthusiastically investigated.⁹⁻¹²

One serious problem in the investigation of photochemically generated $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ in aqueous solution is associated with its very fast back-electron-transfer reaction with the oxidized form of the reductive quencher (eq 1 and 2); $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ is a very powerful reducing agent and reported values of k_2 are in the range $10^7-10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ depending upon the nature of Q^+ .³⁻⁵ Although $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ can be generated electrochemically⁵⁻⁷ in CH₃CN, its nature in aqueous solution has not been investigated in that way. The techniques of radiation chemistry (continuous and pulse) permit the generation of $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ in aqueous solution to be accomplished in the absence of Q and nonaqueous solvents.

$$*Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+} + Q \rightarrow Ru(bpy)_{3}^{+} + Q^{+}$$
 (1)

$$Ru(bpy)_{3}^{+} + Q^{+} \rightarrow Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+} + Q \qquad (2)$$

Baxendale and Fiti¹³ generated $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ in aqueous solution via

$$Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+} + e_{aq}^{-} \rightarrow Ru(bpy)_{3}^{+}$$
(3)

for which $k_3 = 8.2 \times 10^{10} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$; they reported an intense absorption band at 510 nm ($\epsilon \, 1.2 \times 10^4 \, \text{M}^{-1} \, \text{cm}^{-1}$) and a weaker band at ~600 nm. In the presence of 0.5 M *tert*-butyl alcohol as an OH radical scavenger ((CH₃)₃COH + OH \rightarrow ·CH₂C(CH₃)₂OH + H₂O; $k = 5.2 \times 10^8 \, \text{M}^{-1} \, \text{s}^{-1}$), they reported that Ru(bpy)₃⁺ decayed via second-order kinetics ($k = 3.5 \times 10^8 \, \text{M}^{-1} \, \text{s}^{-1}$) in neutral solution which was attributed to a bimolecular disproportionation reaction. Ru(bpy)₃⁺ was described as a bpy electron-adduct radical coordinated to a Ru¹¹ center and reaction 4 was written to represent its decay. No H₂ was observed to be generated in neutral solution.

$$2[\operatorname{Ru}(bpy)_2(bpy^{-}\cdot)]^+ \xrightarrow{2H^+} \operatorname{Ru}(bpy)_3^{2+} + \operatorname{Ru}(bpy)_2(bpyH_2)^{2+}$$
(4)

In the course of our examination of the radiolytic oneelectron reduction of bpy and its coordination complexes in

aqueous solution, we have found that $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ (Figure 1), generated from the reaction of $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ with $\cdot CH_2O^-$, or $CH_3\dot{C}HO^-$, or $(CH_3)_2\dot{C}O^-$ radicals in alkaline (pH 11-13) solution ($k_5 = 2.9 \times 10^9$, 7.0 $\times 10^9$, and 4.9 $\times 10^9$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ respectively), is rather long-lived, decaying via first-order kinetics independent of $[OH^-]$, $[Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}]$, or the nature and concentration of the alcohol used to generate the reducing radicals. The value of the first-order rate constant at low radiation doses (<250 rads) is 0.2 s⁻¹. No H₂ or free bpy are generated in the reaction. The spectral changes observed upon continuous radiolysis in alkaline solution are essentially the same as those seen by Baxendale and Fiti in neutral solution. When tert-butyl alcohol is present, but not in sufficient concentration to scavenge OH radicals, the decay of $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ is unaffected. However, when $\cdot CH_2C(CH_3)_2OH$ radicals are generated, the decay of $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ becomes rapid and complex.

$$Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+} + redn \rightarrow Ru(bpy)_{3}^{+} + oxidn \qquad (5)$$

It is clear that $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ reacts readily with $\cdot CH_2C(CH_3)_2OH$ radicals so that the rapid second-order decay of $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ observed by Baxendale and Fiti¹³ represents reaction 6 in competition with reaction 7 ($k_7 = 7.0 \times 10^8$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹)¹⁴ rather than reaction 4. $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ also reacts efficiently with $\cdot CH_2OH$, $CH_3\dot{C}HOH$, $(CH_3)_2\dot{C}OH$), and $\cdot CO_2^-$ radicals,¹⁵ as well as $\cdot CH_2C(CH_3)_2OH$, in neutral solution. It should be noted that these radicals do not reduce $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ ($k_5 < 10^6$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹).

 $Ru(bpy)_3^+ + \cdot CH_2C(CH_3)_2OH \rightarrow products$ (6)

$$2 \cdot CH_2 C(CH_3)_2 OH \rightarrow \text{products}$$
(7)

By monitoring the decay of $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ in the presence of oxidants, the rate constants for reaction 8 can be obtained. The values of k_8 for O₂, Co(bpy)₃³⁺, and Ni(CN)₄²⁻ are 7.4 × 10⁹, 1.6 × 10⁹, and 4.1 × 10⁷ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively, at pH 11 in 0.1 M CH₃OH. The possible photocatalytic applications of O₂⁻ generated in reaction 8 have already been pointed out.⁵ Reaction 8 with Ni(CN)₄²⁻ generates Ni(CN)₄³⁻ which is known¹⁶ to react further to give Ni₂(CN)₆⁴⁻, a species capable of reducing H₂O to H₂.¹⁷ The characteristic absorption of Ni₂(CN)₆⁴⁻ at 313 nm¹⁷ is observed; the yield of this species is consistent with the disappearance of Ru(bpy)₃⁺ via reaction 8.

$$\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{bpy})_{3}^{+} + X \to \operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{bpy})_{3}^{2+} + X^{-}$$
(8)

In summary, the absorption spectrum of $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ in aqueous solution obtained by pulse radiolysis is very similar to that obtained by flash photolysis in CH₃OH,⁵ CH₃CN,⁵ and $H_2O^{3,18}$ The band at 360 nm is in the same spectral region as that of the one-electron reduction product of free bpy in aqueous solution¹⁹ and can be assigned as a coordinated ligand radical localized transition; the band at 495-510 nm has been suggested to arise from a metal-to-ligand charge-transfer transition.³ Although $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ does not appear to reduce H_2O in neutral¹³ or alkaline solution at a measurable rate, it can serve as an intermediate to drive the reduction of H₂O via other energy-rich species. $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ is a very strong reducing agent and reacts rapidly with free radicals in solution. Any bimolecular disproportionation reaction (such as 4) must have a rate constant at least 10³ times smaller than that attributed in the past. $Ru(bpy)_3^+$ has a rather long intrinsic lifetime in aqueous solutions. Its mode of decay appears to be with H_2O_1 , possibly through the intermediacy of a covalent hydrate species; free bpy is known²⁰ to interact with H_2O in that way.

Acknowledgments. We thank the Linac staff of C.N.R. and Dr. M. G. Simic of U.S. Army Natick Research and Development Command for assistance.

References and Notes

- Research supported in part by Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE76-21050), and the U.S.-Italy Cooperative Science Program (Project P-109).
- A. Juris, M. T. Gandolfi, M. F. Manfrin, and V. Balzani, J. Am. Chem. Soc., (2)98, 1047 (1976).
- C. Creutz and N. Sutin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 6384 (1976); Inorg. Chem., (3)15, 496 (1976).
- (4) M. Maestri and M. Gratzel, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem., 81, 504 (1977)(5) C. P. Anderson, D. J. Salmon, T. J. Meyer, and R. C. Young, J. Am. Chem.
- Soc., 99, 1980 (1977) (6) N. E. Tokel-Tokvoryan, R. E. Hemingway, and A. T. Bard, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,
- 95, 6582 (1973). (7)T. Sagi and S. Aoyagui, J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem., 58, 401 (1975).
- (8) T. J. Meyer, International Workshop on Fundamental Research in Homogeneous Catalysis, Palermo, Italy, Dec 13-16, 1976.
- (9) C. Creutz and N. Sutin, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 72, 2858 (1975).
 (10) G. Sprintschnik, H. W. Sprintschnik, P. P. Kirsch, and D. G. Whitten, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 2337 (1976); 99, 4947 (1977).
 R. Ballardini, G. Varani, F. Scandola, and V. Balzani, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,
- (11)98, 7432 (1976).
- (12) S. J. Valenty and G. L. Gaines, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 1285 (1977).
 (13) J. H. Baxendale and M. Fiti, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1995 (1972).
 (14) M. Simic, P. Neta, and E. Hayon, J. Phys. Chem., 73, 3794 (1969).
- (15) The acld-base properties of these radicals are well established: E. Hayon
- and M. Simic, Acc. Chem. Res., 7, 114 (1974).
 (16) Q. G. Mulazzani, M. D. Ward, G. Semerano, S. S. Emmi, and P. Giordani, Int. J. Radiat. Phys. Chem., 6, 187 (1974).
- (17) D. Bingham and M. G. Burnett, J. Chem. Soc. A, 2165 (1970).
- (18) D. Meisel, M. S. Matheson, W. A. Mulac, and J. Rabani, J. Phys. Chem., 81, 1449 (1977).
- (19) Q. G. Mulazzani, S. Emmi, P. G. Fuochi, M.Venturi, M. Z. Hoffman, and M. G. Simic, unpublished work.
- (20) M. S. Henry and M. Z. Hoffman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 5201 (1977).

Q. G. Mułazzani,* S. Emmi, P. G. Fuochi

Laboratorio di Fotochimica e Radiazioni

d'Alta Energia del C.N.R. Via de' Castagnoli, 1, 40126 Bologna, Italy

M. Z. Hoffman*

Department of Chemistry, Boston University Boston, Massachusetts 02215

M. Venturi

Cattedra di Chimica Generale ed Inorganica Facoltà di Farmacia, Università di Bologna 40126 Bologna, Italy Received May 31, 1977

Divergent Cycloaddition Pathways for Reaction of Singlet Stilbene and Stilbene **Excimer with Dimethyl Fumarate**

Sir:

The intermediacy of excimers and exciplexes in photochemical cycloaddition reactions is firmly established.¹ Furthermore, excimers and exciplexes often have sufficiently long lifetimes to be intercepted by a third molecule.²⁻⁶ Such interception can result in the formation of a triplex,² exciplex substitution to form a new exciplex,³ deactivation to ground-state molecules,^{4,5} or new or enhanced chemical reactions.⁶ We wish to report that different cycloadducts are formed upon quenching of singlet trans-stilbene and stilbene excimer by dimethyl fumarate. Divergent modes of cycloaddition for a singlet monomer and excimer are without precedent and offer a promising avenue for extending the synthetic scope of the photochemical cycloaddition process.

Preparative photolysis of *trans*-stilbene $(7 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M})$ and dimethyl fumarate (7×10^{-2} M) in benzene has previously been reported to yield dimethyl μ - and neo-truxinate (1 and 2) as the major and minor isolated products,⁷ Photolysis of a more concentrated solution of stilbene (0.20 M) and dimethyl fumarate (0.22 M) followed by silica gel chromatography led

Table I. Quantum Yields for Stilbene Isomerization and Cycloaddition^a

[<i>trans</i> - Stilbene], M	[Dimethyl fumarate], M	Φ_1	Φ1	Φ3
0.010	0.13	0.31	0.0080	0.0010
0.010	0.17	0.28	0.0094	0.0012
0.010	0.25	0.25	0.014	0.0014
0.010	0.39	0.20	0.017	0.0013
0.10	0.13	0.33	0.0081	0.036
0.10	0.17	0.29	0.0096	0.037
0.10	0.25	0.24	0.012	0.036
0.10	0.33	0.21	0.015	0.036
0.010	0.10	0.35	0.0069	0.0017
0.050	0.10	0.39	0.0070	0.013
0.10	0.10	0.38	0.0069	0.036
0.25	0.10	0.25	0.0061	0.16
0.55	0.10	0.20	0.0051	0.24

^a Degassed 1% pyridine/benzene solutions irradiated using monochromatic 334-nm light at 25 °C. Analysis by gas chromatography at <5% conversion on a 5 ft \times $\frac{1}{8}$ in. 5% SF96 on Chromosorb G column at 220 °C. Light intensities determined by benzophenone-benzyhydrol actinometry. Limits of error: $\pm 10\%$ for stilbene isomerization, $\pm 20\%$ for cycloadduct formation.

Table II. Wavelength Dependence of Quantum Yields^{*a*,*b*}

λ, nm ^c	Φ_{t}	Φ_1	Φ_3
334	0.16	0.018	0.035
365	0.04	0.035	0.012

^a Degassed benzene solutions containing 0.11 M trans-stilbene and 0.42 M dimethyl fumarate. ^b See note for Table I. ^c Wavelength of irradiation.



to isolation of 1 and 2 along with two previously unreported isomeric oxetanes (3 and 4).^{8,9} At low trans-stilbene conversions, *cis*-stilbene, 1, and 3 were the only products detected by GC. As the stilbene photostationary state was approached, 2 and 4 were detected. Michler's ketone and benzil sensitized the isomerization of trans-stilbene (0.01 M) in the presence of dimethyl fumarate (0,10 M); however, no cycloadducts were observed at moderate to high conversions of trans- to cisstilbene.

Quantum yields for *trans*-stilbene isomerization (Φ_1) and formation of 1 and 3 were determined as a function of both dimethyl fumarate and trans-stilbene concentration (Table I). Stilbene and dimethyl fumarate form a weak ground-state complex ($K \sim 1.0 \pm 0.5$ by the method of Rose and Drago¹⁰); however, for all of the data in Table I, essentially all (\geq 98%) of the 334-nm light is absorbed by uncomplexed trans-stilbene. Selective excitation of the ground-state complex can be accomplished by irradiation of concentrated solutions at 365 nm and results in different product quantum yields than those obtained with 334-nm irradiation (Table II). The fluorescence of dilute (10^{-4} M) trans-stilbene is efficiently quenched by dimethyl fumarate with the concomitant appearance of weak exciplex fluorescence (λ_{max} 470 nm, benzene solution).

The failure of triplet sensitization to effect either cyclobu-